

REID & HELLYER APC
3880 LEMON STREET, FIFTH FLOOR
RIVERSIDE, CALIFORNIA 92502-1300
TELEPHONE (951) 682-1771

1 **REID & HELLYER**
A Professional Corporation
2 DANIEL E. KATZ, State Bar No. 185139
3880 Lemon Street, Fifth Floor
3 Post Office Box 1300
Riverside, California 92502-1300
4 Telephone: (951) 682-1771; (951) 686-2415 facsimile
Dkatz@rhlaw.com

5
6 DAGRELLA LAW FIRM, PLC
JERRY R. DAGRELLA, State Bar No. 219948
11801 Pierce Street, 2nd Floor
7 Riverside CA 92505
Telephone (951) 710-3043; (951) 344-8372 facsimile
8 dagrella@lawyer.com
Attorneys for Respondent Mischelynn Scarlatelli

FILED
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO
SAN BERNARDINO DISTRICT

FEB 22 2017

BY Patricia Vega
PATRICIA VEGA, DEPUTY

11 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

12 FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO, SAN BERNARDINO JUSTICE CENTER

14 IN RE:) CASE NO. PROPS1500303
15 THE RESTATEMENT OF THE ARMY (SIC)) CONSOLIDATED WITH LASC CASES
TROY ISOM AND SHIRLEY ISOM FAMILY) BP164064 and BP164065
16 LIVING TRUST DATE OCTOBER 10, 2013)
17 CAMERON TROY ISOM, an Individual, and) Trial Judge:
VICTORIA JO ISOM TAYLOR, an Individual,) The Hon. Bryan F. Foster
18) Dept. S22
19 Petitioners,) Action Filed: April 2, 2015
20 v.) ~~Proposed~~ JUDGMENT
21 MISCHELYNN SCARLATELLI, as Purported)
Trustee of the Army (sic) Troy Isom and Shirley)
22 Isom and Shirley Isom Family Living Trust,)
Respondent.)
23 AND ALL CONSOLIDATED MATTERS.) Trial Date: October 11, 2016

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1 The above-entitled cause came on regularly for trial on October 11, 2016, in Department S22
2 of the above-captioned Court, the Honorable Bryan F. Foster, presiding without a jury. Peter
3 Bochnewich and Jacquetta Bardacos of the law firm of ~~Martin and~~ ^{LAW OFFICES} Bochnewich appeared for
4 Petitioners Cameron Troy Isom and Victoria Jo Isom Taylor and Petitioner Darci Suzanne Isom
5 appeared pro se (Cameron Troy Isom, Victoria Jo Isom Taylor and Darci Isom are referred to jointly
6 at times herein as "Petitioners"). Daniel Katz of the law firm of Reid & Hellyer and Jerry Dagrella
7 of Dagrella Law Firm, PLC appeared for Respondent Mischelynn Scarlatelli.

8 Trial was conducted with respect to the Verified Petition in this action of Petitioners
9 Cameron Troy Isom and Victoria Jo Isom Taylor, as joined by Petitioner Darci Suzanne Isom, as
10 well as to the will contests and creditors claims filed by Petitioners in Los Angeles Superior Court
11 case numbers BP164064 and BP164065, which were transferred and consolidated herein prior to
12 trial.

13 By way of their Verified Petition in this action, as well as their will contests and creditors
14 claims filed in case numbers BP164064 and BP164065, Petitioners asserted the following claims:
15 That Petitioners were entitled to a portion of the estates of Army Troy Isom and Shirley Isom, or,
16 damages against the estates of Army Troy Isom and Shirley Isom or against Respondent through
17 enforcement of a stipulated Interlocutory Judgment of Dissolution of Marriage in the marital
18 dissolution action between Betty Jo Isom and Armie (aka Army) Troy Isom, Los Angeles Superior
19 Court case number EA D 37292. Additionally, Petitioners sought to void the Restatement of the
20 Army Troy Isom and Shirley Isom Family Living Trust, dated October 10, 2013 (the "Trust") and
21 the corresponding last will of Army Troy Isom, as well as earlier wills and Restatements from 2011
22 and 2009, on the following grounds: That the Trust and will were the product of undue influence
23 and/or that Troy Isom was not mentally competent when he executed the Trust and will and/or that
24 the Trust and will were void under California Probate Code section 21380.

25 On October 19, 2016, the Trial Court granted Respondent's Motion for Nonsuit on
26 Petitioners' claims for enforcement of the Interlocutory Judgment of Dissolution of Marriage,
27 following Petitioners' opening statements, on the ground that Petitioners' claims had no merit, as a
28 matter of law.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

As to the remaining claims, oral and documentary evidence was introduced on behalf of the respective parties and the cause was argued and submitted for decision. The Court, having considered the evidence and heard arguments of counsel issued a Statement of Decision on January 10, 2017, which became final on January 26, 2017. In its Statement of Decision, the Trial Court found against Petitioners and in favor of Respondent on all of Petitioners' claims.

IT IS THEREFORE HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED THAT:

1. Petitioners Cameron Troy Isom, Victoria Jo Isom Taylor and Darci Suzanne Isom take nothing by way of their Petition herein and their will contests and creditors claims in case numbers BP164064 and BP164065.
2. Respondent is the prevailing party herein and is entitled to her recoverable costs against Petitioners, jointly and severally pursuant to a later filed cost memorandum.

Dated: FEB 22 2017

BRYAN F. FOSTER
Judge of the Superior Court

